

# HCI Academic Press Journals Peer-Review Form

Date:

Journal:

Manuscript title:

---

## A. Please react to your overall reading of the manuscript

*(These comments will be shared with the authors—without identifying the referee. Enter comments here or attach a de-identified file with comments and edits.)*

---

## B. Comments to the editor

*(These comments will not be shared with the authors. Enter comments here or attach a de-identified file with comments and edits.)*

---

## C. Please summarize your assessment of the paper (check one):

1. Does the submission represent a significant and original contribution to knowledge, appropriate for the type of contribution/submission?

Definitely     Probably     Marginally     Probably not     Definitely not

2. Are the introduction and conclusion written in a way that appeals to a general audience, including an educated person outside of the authors' field of study?

Definitely     Probably     Marginally     Probably not     Definitely not

3. Is the submission well-organized and clearly written?

Definitely     Probably     Marginally     Probably not     Definitely not

4. Is the length of a manuscript justified by its contents?

Definitely     Probably     Marginally     Probably not     Definitely not

5. Are any figures clear and helpful for the reading (if applicable)?

Definitely     Probably     Marginally     Probably not     Definitely not

6. Are the subject matter and presentation style appropriate for *Transformative Social Impact*?

Definitely     Probably     Marginally     Probably not     Definitely not

7. Please evaluate the overall quality of the manuscript.

Outstanding     Solid     Average     Marginal     Poor

---

**D. Overall Recommendation:** *(Choose one of the following)*

Publish without changes

Publish after authors have considered my comments and suggestions

Publish only after authors have satisfactorily addressed all the issues raised in this report

Do not publish

---

**Additional Comments**

---